

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/519,477	Applicant(s) HAMADA ET AL.	
	Examiner AMY HSU	Art Unit 2622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) AMY HSU. (3) Catherine Voisinnet.
 (2) Lin Ye. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 07 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Umeyama (US 2002/0057473).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



LIN YE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argues that "continuous photographing function" is not taught by Umeyama. Examiners do not agree, and suggest amending Claim 1 and similar independent claims to further define the continuous photographing function, which would overcome the prior art of record and the non-final rejection dated 7/25/2008. Examiners further interpret Umeyama's memory, reference number 208, to teach the applicant's first image data storage unit. The suggested amendments are subject to further search..